



ENHANCING RURAL STUDENT OUTCOMES: INTEGRATED RESEARCH-BASED LEARNING IN BIOTECHNOLOGY EDUCATION

Shubham Srivastava

Department of Zoology,
KripaluMahilaMahavidyalaya, Kunda, Pratapgarh – 230204
Corresponding Email: shubham9333@gmail.com

Communicated : 14.06.2026

Revision : 15.07.2026
Accepted : 10.08.2026

Published: 30.09.2026

ABSTRACT:

Over the last two decades India has seen tremendous growth in education especially in higher education, with approximately 61.4% of colleges located in rural regions and science enrolment exceeding 5.5 million students, in biotechnology and its allied disciplines and yet, rural biotechnology education continues to face persistent challenges, including limited laboratory infrastructure, inadequate research exposure, and insufficient skill-oriented training that cause poor work force in the field of biotechnology. These structural gaps are reflected in a lower Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) in rural areas (25.7%) compared to urban regions (33.4%).

Integrated Research-Based Learning (IRBL) offers a transformative pedagogical framework by embedding inquiry-driven experimentation, hypothesis formulation, data analysis, bioinformatics exposure, and project-based learning within undergraduate and postgraduate biotechnology curricula that enables students to visualize the real life problems and their solutions. Closely aligned with the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which emphasizes experiential, learner-centred, and research-oriented education, IRBL facilitates early research exposure, interdisciplinary learning, and practical skill development. In this paper we critically examine IRBL as a strategic approach to bridge rural-urban disparities in biotechnology education. Our paper argues that curriculum-integrated research experiences can strengthen research culture in rural institutions, enhance student employability, and contribute to India's long-term goals of scientific capacity building and inclusive, knowledge-driven development.

Keywords: *Integrated Research-Based Learning; Biotechnology Education; Rural Higher Education; National Education Policy 2020; Experiential Learning; Research Skills; Curriculum Innovation; Inclusive Education.*

INTRODUCTION :

The development of any country can occur only when the quality of higher education is good because the quality of higher education plays a crucial role in shaping scientific capacity, innovation, and workforce readiness, particularly in research-intensive disciplines such as biotechnology (Tilak, 2013). In order to achieve Sustainable Development Goal 4 ensuring inclusive and equitable quality education requires addressing persistent rural-urban disparities in access to laboratory exposure, research opportunities, and skill development. These challenges are especially seen in rural higher education institutions, where limited infrastructure, professional isolation of faculty, and socio-economic constraints continue to

influence teaching-learning practices (Varghese, 2018).

Biotechnology refers to the application of biological systems, organisms, or their components to develop products and technologies that benefit agriculture, medicine, industry, and environmental sustainability (Woodin, 2013). As a discipline, biotechnology is inherently research-driven, requiring continuous engagement with experimental design, hypothesis testing, data interpretation, and emerging tools such as bioinformatics and computational biology (Brew, 2003). However, in many rural institutions this subject is theory-oriented and examination-focused, restricting students' opportunities to develop critical thinking, problem-solving

abilities, and research competencies (Varghese, 2018).

Research-Based Learning (RBL) integrates the research process into formal learning environments, enabling students to actively engage in visualizing the questions, experimentation, data analysis, and reflective inquiry (Brew, 2003). This approach promotes higher-order cognitive skills that include analytical reasoning, scientific communication, and self-directed learning (Kate, 2009). In the Indian context, where rural institutions makes a significant proportion of the higher education ecosystem, integrating RBL into biotechnology curricula offers a viable pathway to democratize research exposure and enhance educational quality (Varghese, 2018).

In India there has been increase in institutional capacity and student participation in the last two decades; but qualitative disparities persist (Tilak, 2013). According to the All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE 2020–21), rural regions continue to report a lower Gross Enrolment Ratio (25.7%) compared to urban areas (33.4%) (All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2020–21). Addressing these disparities requires pedagogical frameworks that are scalable, context-sensitive, and aligned with national policy priorities. Integrated Research-Based Learning (IRBL), as emphasized in the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, provides such a framework by embedding experiential and inquiry-driven learning within mainstream biotechnology education (NEP 2020).

Rural–Urban Disparities in Biotechnology Education in India

India's higher education expansion has been marked by a strong rural presence in terms of institutional distribution; however, this numerical growth has not translated into equitable educational quality (Tilak, 2013). Rural institutions account for approximately 61.4% of colleges in India, yet they continue to face

structural disadvantages in resource-intensive disciplines such as biotechnology (Tilak, 2013). These disadvantages manifest in limited laboratory infrastructure, restricted research exposure, and insufficient skill-based training, all of which contribute to uneven academic outcomes between rural and urban regions (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019).

Biotechnology education requires sustained access to experimental facilities, exposure to current research methodologies, and opportunities for interdisciplinary engagement (Prince, 2006). Rural institutions often operate with constrained funding and outdated laboratory setups, limiting hands-on training to basic experiments (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019). In contrast, urban institutions benefit from better infrastructure, industry collaborations, and access to funded research projects, enabling students to engage with advanced techniques and innovation ecosystems (Altbach, 2011).

Beyond infrastructure, disparities are evident in research participation and academic culture. Rural biotechnology students typically have fewer opportunities to engage in internships, research publications, conferences, and collaborative projects ((Lopatto, 2013)). Teaching practices remain largely content-driven, prioritizing syllabus completion and examinations over inquiry and experimentation. This pedagogical imbalance restricts the development of higher-order cognitive skills, including critical thinking, data interpretation, and scientific communication (Brew, 2003).

These challenges are reflected in participation indicators such as the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER), which remains lower in rural areas (25.7%) than in urban areas (33.4%) (All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2020–21). The implications extend beyond access, influencing student retention, progression to

postgraduate education, and employability in biotechnology-related industries (Lopatto, 2013). Graduates from rural institutions often face skill mismatches when competing for research positions or industry roles, reinforcing systemic inequities in scientific training (Varghese, 2018). Faculty-related constraints further intensify these disparities. Rural institutions frequently face shortages of research-active faculty, limited professional development opportunities, and high teaching workloads (Varghese, 2018). These conditions restrict faculty capacity to mentor student research or introduce innovative pedagogical models (Brew, 2003). Addressing rural-urban disparities in biotechnology education therefore requires approaches that are pedagogically innovative, scalable, and adaptable to resource-limited environments (NEP 2020). Tables 1 & 2 conceptually represent rural-urban gaps in biotechnology education, highlighting disparities in infrastructure, research exposure, and skill development. Urban institutions demonstrate higher integration of research and industry engagement, whereas rural institutions remain constrained by structural and pedagogical limitations, underlining the need for innovative teaching-learning frameworks such as Integrated Research-Based Learning (Suwanbamrung, 2025).

Integrated Research-Based Learning (RBL) as a Strategy to Bridge Rural-Urban Gaps

Rationale for Adopting RBL in Rural Biotechnology Institutions

The pronounced rural-urban disparities in biotechnology education necessitate pedagogical interventions that go beyond infrastructural expansion (Varghese, 2018). While urban institutions benefit from advanced laboratories, industry linkages, and research culture, rural colleges often rely on theory-heavy, examination-oriented teaching (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019). **Research-Based Learning (RBL)** offers a

pedagogically sound and scalable approach to mitigate these disparities by embedding research activities directly within the teaching-learning process (Brew, 2003).

RBL emphasizes inquiry, experimentation, and evidence-based reasoning, enabling students to learn biotechnology concepts through active engagement rather than passive reception (Healey, 2009). For rural learners, particularly first-generation graduates, this approach democratizes access to research exposure otherwise concentrated in urban and elite institutions (Varghese, 2018).

Structure of Integrated RBL in Biotechnology Curriculum

Integrated RBL involves the systematic incorporation of small-scale, curriculum-aligned research components across undergraduate and postgraduate biotechnology programs (Brew, 2003). These may include:

- Problem-based laboratory modules
- Field-based biological sampling
- Literature review assignments
- Data analysis exercises
- Mini research projects linked to local bioresources

In rural contexts, biotechnology research themes can be contextualized around agriculture, environmental monitoring, public health, microbial diversity, and traditional biological knowledge systems (Varghese, 2018). Such localization not only compensates for the lack of high-end infrastructure but also enhances relevance, motivation, and societal engagement among students (Suwanbamrung, 2025).

Role of Faculty Mentorship and Institutional Ecosystem

Faculty mentorship is central to the successful implementation of RBL, especially in rural institutions where students may lack prior research exposure (Varghese, 2018). Teachers function as facilitators who guide students in:

- Formulating research questions
- Selecting methodologies
- Interpreting results

- Adhering to ethical research practices

Institutional support mechanisms—such as flexible curricula, internal funding for student projects, collaborative networks with nearby universities or research centers, and access to open-source bioinformatics tools—significantly enhance the effectiveness of RBL. Capacity-building programs for faculty further ensure sustainability and academic rigor (Kate, 2025).

Addressing Equity and Inclusion Through RBL

RBL contributes to educational equity by reducing dependency on rote learning and standardized examinations that often disadvantage rural students (Varghese, 2018). Through continuous assessment, reflective learning, and hands-on engagement, students from diverse socio-economic backgrounds are evaluated on competence, creativity, and scientific reasoning (Brew, 2003).

Moreover, RBL fosters soft skills such as teamwork, communication, and scientific writing, which are critical for bridging employability gaps between rural and urban graduates (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019). By nurturing confidence and research identity, RBL empowers rural students to compete effectively for higher education opportunities and scientific careers (Lopatto, 2013 b).

Skill Development and Employability in the Indian Biotechnology Sector

India's rapidly expanding biotechnology sector continues to face a persistent mismatch between academic training and industry requirements (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019) Integrated RBL addresses this gap by embedding hands-on research experiences within the formal curriculum (Healey, 2009). Through laboratory-based mini-projects, fieldwork, documentation, and basic computational analysis, students acquire practical and transferable skills essential for

professional growth (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019)

These competencies enhance employability in sectors such as:

- Diagnostics
- Pharmaceutical quality control
- Agricultural biotechnology
- Environmental monitoring
- Emerging biotechnology startups

This is particularly impactful in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities. RBL thus contributes to workforce readiness while strengthening the relevance of rural biotechnology education to national economic and scientific priorities (NEP 2020).

Conclusion

Persistent rural–urban disparities continue to challenge the equitable development of biotechnology education in India, particularly in terms of research exposure, laboratory access, and skill acquisition (Varghese, 2018). This paper demonstrates that **Integrated Research-Based Learning (IRBL)** offers a viable and context-sensitive pedagogical framework to address these challenges within the Indian higher education system (Healey, 2009). By embedding research activities directly into biotechnology curricula, IRBL transforms learning from a passive, examination-oriented process into an active, inquiry-driven experience (Suwanbamrung, 2025).

IRBL enhances conceptual understanding, analytical reasoning, and practical competence among rural biotechnology students, even within resource-constrained institutional settings (Varghese, 2018). Through localized research projects and continuous assessment, students develop scientific confidence, research literacy, and employability-oriented skills traditionally concentrated in urban institutions (Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector, 2019). Importantly, IRBL promotes educational equity by reducing dependence on advanced infrastructure and emphasizing relevance to local biological and societal contexts (Varghese, 2018).

Aligned with the objectives of the **National Education Policy 2020**, institutionalization of IRBL can strengthen rural colleges as centers of scientific inquiry and innovation (NEP 2020). Strategic policy support, faculty capacity building, and curriculum reform are essential to ensure scalability and long-term impact (Healey, 2009). By fostering a research-oriented mindset among rural learners, Integrated Research-Based Learning can play a pivotal role in shaping India's future biotechnology workforce and advancing inclusive, knowledge-driven development (Lopatto, 2013).

REFERENCES :

- Altbach, P.G., & Salmi, J. (2011). *The Road to Academic Excellence: The Making of World-Class Research Universities*. World Bank; Pp. 363.
- Brew, A. (2003). *Teaching and Research: New Relationships and Their Implications for Inquiry-Based Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*. Higher Education Research & Development, 22(1), 3–18.
- Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. New Delhi: Ministry of Education, pp. 40–66.
- Healey, M., & Jenkins, A. (2009). *Developing Undergraduate Research and Inquiry*. York, UK: Higher Education Academy.
- Lopatto, D. (2013). Undergraduate Research as a High-Impact Student Experience. *Peer Review*, 12(2), 27–30.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). *All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2020–21*. New Delhi: Ministry of Education.
- National Skill Development Corporation (NSDC). (2019). *Skill Gap Analysis Report for the Biotechnology Sector*. New Delhi: NSDC.
- Ndwandwe, K., et al. (2025). Improving Life Science Learning in Rural Schools through Collaborative Teacher Professional Development – A Case Study of the Umkhanyakude District of South Africa. *E-Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences (EHASS)*.
- Prince, M., & Felder, R. (2006). Inductive Teaching and Learning Methods: Definitions, Comparisons, and Research Bases. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 95(2), 123–138.
- Suwanbamrung, C., et al. (2025). Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Integrated Research Subject Research-Based Learning Online (IRS-RBLO) Model: Retrospective Record Review Study. *BMC Medical Education*, May 2025.
- Tilak, J.B.G. (2013). *Higher Education in India: In Search of Equality, Quality and Quantity*. Orient Blackswan; pp. 538–540.
- Varghese, N.V. (2018). *Equity and Inclusion in Higher Education in India*. UNESCO IIEP Working Paper.
- Woodin, T., Carter, V.C., & Fletcher, L. (2010). Vision and Change in Biology Undergraduate Education: A Call for Action. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 9(2), 71–73.

Table 1

Dimension	Rural Institutions	Urban Institutions
Institutional Distribution	High (majority of colleges)	Lower proportion
Laboratory Infrastructure	Basic, limited instrumentation	Advanced, well-funded labs
Research Exposure	Minimal, extracurricular	Integrated into curriculum
Industry Collaboration	Limited or absent	Strong linkages
Faculty Research Capacity	Constrained by workload	Higher research engagement
Graduate Employability	Lower	Higher

Table 2

Indicator	Rural Areas	Urban Areas
Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER)	25.7%	33.4%
Access to Research Projects	Limited	High
Progression to PG/PhD	Lower	Higher